UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,427	02/01/2006	Manfred Bick	DNAG-313	8861	
	7590 02/23/201 & JAWORSKI, LLP	0	EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AV	E		ZHU, WEIPING		
NEW YORK, N	N1 10105-5198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/23/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/564,427		BICK ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		WEIPING ZI	HU	1793			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicati r Reply	on appears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sply received by the Office later than three months after the distance of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event tion. period will apply and will e y statute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for a	☐ This action is nor allowance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>22-48</u> is/are pending in the app fa) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>22-48</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from cons					
Application	on Papers						
10) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	48) 5 6	)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da )  Notice of Informal F )  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/564,427 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of Claims

1. Claims 22-48 are currently under examination, wherein no claim has been amended in applicant's amendment filed on November 17, 2009.

# Status of Previous Rejections

2. All the previous rejections of claims 22-48 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as stated in the Office action dated August 19, 2009 are maintained as follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shekhter et al. (US 6,558,447 B1) as stated in the Office action dated August 19, 2009.

### Response to Arguments

4. The applicant's arguments filed on November 17, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the oxides of Shekhter et al. ('447 B1) are reduced with magnesium in gaseous form while the reducing metal of the instant invention does not react in gaseous form; thus the rejections can only be based on hindsight, using applicant's specification as a guide. In response, the examiner notes that there is no limitation of the form of the reducing agent recited in the instant claims. Shekhter et al.

('447 B1) discloses all the instantly claimed limitations. The grounds of rejections as discussed in the Office action dated August 19, 2009 relied only on the knowledge disclosed in the prior art reference, which was within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and did not include knowledge gleaned only from applicant's disclosure. Therefore, the rejections are proper and maintained.

#### Conclusion

5. **HIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,427

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art

Page 4

Unit 1793

WZ

2/17/2010